

Exhibit 82

ZFL-12543287

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

Credit Opinion: Zuffa, LLC

Global Credit Research - 03 Feb 2014

Las Vegas, Nevada, United States

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Corporate Family Rating	Ba3
Sr Sec Bank Credit Facility	Ba3/LGD4

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Neil Begley/New York City	212.553.7793
John Diaz/New York City	212.553.1977

Opinion

Rating Drivers

- High barriers to entry, including strong brand recognition, support preeminent market position in the sport of mixed martial arts
- Consolidation among global competitors have helped to expand the company's scale and product and geographic diversity
- Continued growth in contractual revenues from sponsorships and TV rights fees has begun to gradually reduce the company's exposure to economic downturns and unforeseen incidents that impact events
- Variable fighter costs help drive operating flexibility and support higher margins relative to most major sports
- High financial risk tolerance of the company's owners, amongst other rating drivers, constrains credit ratings to Ba category

Corporate Profile

Zuffa, LLC (Zuffa) d/b/a Ultimate Fighting Championship (UFC) is the world's largest promoter of mixed martial arts (MMA) sports competition events, and its UFC brand is now synonymous with MMA. MMA is an individual combat sport with international appeal, which uses a combination of rules and fighting genre, such as boxing, karate, judo, jiu-jitsu, kickboxing, and wrestling among others and is currently legal in 49 states in the U.S. under the "Unified Rules of MMA". Zuffa is privately owned and controlled by Lorenzo and Frank Fertitta. The company's President, Dana White, as well as Flash Entertainment, a live events organization owned by a division of the government of Abu Dhabi, maintain minority equity stakes. Revenues for the LTM period ended 9/30/2013 were \$483 million.

Rating Rationale

Zuffa's Ba3 CFR reflects its unparalleled position as the largest MMA promotion company. This strong competitive position is protected by high barriers to entry, which include Zuffa's first mover advantage in structuring and organizing the sport, growing fan interest and loyalty with respect to UFC, brand strength in MMA, and its large contractually bound pool of fighters with superior opportunities for exposure and profit. High operating margins, which reflect the company's ability to leverage its existing premium MMA brands, lead to good free cash flow generation. Zuffa's growing but small scale relative to other Ba-rated media and entertainment companies and inherent risks associated with the company's event driven business model, continue to weigh on its credit profile.

While a significant portion of Zuffa's revenues is derived from pay-per-view events that are vulnerable to numerous variables including the timing of events, fighter injuries and the popularity of matchups, Moody's recognizes the company's recent efforts in expanding and diversifying its revenue base through strategic partnerships, as evidenced by its breakthrough content rights deal with FOX and another one with one of Brazil's biggest TV networks - Globo. Deals like these will not only enhance exposure for the UFC brand but over the long run will also enable the company to improve quality of its earnings and cash flows by increasing the percent of contractual revenues in the form of programming fees and sponsorships from strong credit worthy counterparties. The rating is supported by management's commitment to maintain a moderate amount of debt and leverage. Although the company has been fairly acquisitive in the past, the potential for increased top-line growth via material acquisitions is limited going forward. Nevertheless, should horizontal investment opportunities not be available, the company could pursue vertical acquisition strategies to enhance growth, albeit within the bounds of its debt capacity under the current rating. Though the majority owners have significant financial resources, they have a history of speculative financial-risk tolerance, which constrains the rating to the Ba category.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

COMPETITIVE POSITION WITHIN MMA, FAN LOYALTY AND DISTRIBUTION NETWORK INCREASE BARRIERS TO ENTRY

Zuffa is the largest promoter of organized MMA fighting events in the world under its UFC brand. The company's revenue base is small relative to other Ba-rated media and entertainment companies (average revenues for the Ba rated population exceeds \$3 billion) but commanding industry position, good operating execution, efforts to enhance revenue diversity and acquisitions have helped broaden its scale, including a near doubling of the revenue base since 2007. Since UFC's acquisition by Zuffa in 2001, Zuffa has consolidated other weaker performing industry competitors under its umbrella, such as WEC in October 2006, WFA in December 2006, PRIDE in May 2007, and Strikeforce in 2011, which has further strengthened its market position. The company owns all copyrights, trademarks and recordings for its brands, including the rights to The Ultimate Fighter, a reality series which airs in the U.S. on Fox Sports 1, and the trademarked Octagon cage.

Management has been able to transform the sport, from what was a collection of disorganized limited rules rumblings often unsanctioned by most states, by standardizing and conforming to rules that are consistent with those already sanctioned in most states. The rules help ensure safety by using referees, weight classes and limiting fights to either three or five rounds. Regular drug testing and physical examinations of all fighters was also implemented, which ensures the continuity and veracity of the MMA events. The current scale and worldwide reach of the UFC dwarfs any of its competitors in Moody's opinion. The company has been able to leverage its scale to offer comprehensive fighter insurance that smaller competitors are unable to offer, and we believe that Zuffa has attracted and secured under exclusive contract most of the top highly trained fighters in the sport, which is a qualitative competitive advantage.

While we consider competition a relatively low risk, success breeds imitation. Moderate levels of disruption are expected from media companies with significant resources that invest in sports programming, like Viacom's (Baa2 senior unsecured rating) purchase in 2011 of a majority stake in Bellator Fighting Championships, a distant competitor of UFC.

CREDIT METRICS VOLATILITY WILL EASE AS THE COMPANY TRANSFORMS ITS BUSINESS MODEL, SHIFTING TO MORE STABLE SOURCES OF REVENUE

In recent years, Zuffa has demonstrated higher than expected volatility in its credit metrics resulting from timing and performance of individual events as well as periodic increases in debt to fund acquisitions and dividends. Notably, EBITDA increased by over 40% (y-o-y) in the first nine months of 2013 following steep declines of 18% and 25% in 2011 and 2012 and strong growth of 46% annualized EBITDA growth from 2007-2010. After delevering steadily from over 5.0x in 2007 to 2.6x in 2010, the company's leverage increased to over 4.5x in 2012. The fall in EBITDA was precipitated by numerous fighter injuries over 2011-2012, which led to the underperformance of some events as well as the cancellation of one event in 2012. Zuffa's dependence on a limited number of events drives its volatility, and unexpected and unforeseen issues are an ongoing risk for the company, leaving the potential for occasionally erratic cash flow generation.

The company has historically increased debt to pay out dividends (beyond those to cover the company's taxes) and fund acquisitions, which has resulted in periodic increases in leverage, though it has generally been able to bring leverage down fairly quickly through steady growth. Moody's notes that Zuffa has managed to de-lever its balance sheet over the last twelve months via revenue driven EBITDA growth. We expect that Zuffa's current debt-to-EBITDA ratio of around 4.2x (as of 9/30/2013, incorporating Moody's standard adjustments), will drop

again towards 3.0x within the next twelve to eighteen months as the company continues its momentum with lucrative sponsorships, TV rights deals and dynamic incursions in international markets. However, as it has done historically, we expect the company to eventually increase debt and continue taking capital out of the business as it grows, and as such, we do not expect it to sustain leverage under 3.0x in the near to intermediate term. Under its current facility, the company has the option to increase debt further by exercising its \$125 million accordion feature and if pro forma leverage is under 4.25x, it is permitted to further increase debt. Moody's does not expect the company to add debt and leverage over the near-term.

We anticipate that low maintenance capital requirements will continue to drive Zuffa's strong free cash flow conversion and afford the company ample financial flexibility to repay debt in the future. The company will also benefit from the steady and material amount of annual cash flow from its 7-year television rights deal with Fox signed in 2011, although a larger portion of the cash flow and margin enhancement will come in the later years of the deal. Over the intermediate-term, we believe the company will repay only its required amortization of under \$5 million per annum, repay amounts drawn under its \$60 million revolver, and distribute the remainder of its free cash flow to the shareholders including amounts intended to pay taxes attributable to Zuffa (as an LLC, the company's income is attributed to its owners, and they are responsible for paying the respective taxes). Note: Moody's calculates free cash flow allocating a portion of dividends to tax expense and the remainder is viewed more like share repurchase activity due to the private company nature and ability to turn such payments on or off at will, which is typically more difficult for public companies with entrenched dividend programs.

RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH COMPANY SIZE, SHORT HISTORY AND REVENUE CONCENTRATION BALANCED WITH STRATEGIC INITIATIVES TO EXPAND BEYOND PPV REVENUES

Zuffa's credit rating is constrained by its relatively modest size, its short history compared to other major sports, and dependence on sustaining the MMA sports' popularity gains. In the LTM period ended 9/30/2013, the company derived over 55% of its revenues from PPV events, which included 11 live PPV events held in the U.S. and 2 international PPV events. Nearly 75% of PPV event revenues come from PPV buy revenue, ticket sales and closed circuit commercial revenues, all of which are variable in nature due to exposure to discretionary consumer spending and competition from other sports and forms of entertainment for spectator interest.

In Moody's opinion, Zuffa continues to remain heavily dependent upon PPV event related revenues and vulnerable to cyclical economic downturns, although exposure to volatile sources of revenue has been declining and will continue to diminish going forward, with growth in contractual revenues (a significant portion of which is accounted for in PPV event related revenues) in the form of sponsorships and licensing deals. This revenue concentration constrains the rating to Ba3 at this time. However, the continued spread of popularity of UFC more than mitigated the cyclical impact in the last downturn. We have some moderate concerns that when the company reaches maturity, that future downturns could temporarily negatively impact revenues much like for other sports. In addition, like in other sports, ad-hoc incidents and terrorist activity risk which could affect general large events cannot be mitigated. Nevertheless, the company is very well diversified geographically inside the U.S. (currently legal in 49 states), and continues to expand internationally, decreasing its concentration risk to any regional downturns and trends.

Moody's notes that over the last two to three years, Zuffa has been taking significant steps in transitioning its operating model as it seeks to diminish reliance on variable sources of revenue and enable greater earnings stability. Since 2011, it has signed a number of significant multi-year television deals such as with Fox in the U.S., and Globo and Globosat in Brazil, which include contractual step ups in fees and/or minimum guarantees. Further, as part of its globalization efforts, the company recently launched the UFC Fight Pass, a subscription based digital service, which will allow subscribers access to Zuffa's full MMA library and a minimum of 12 live events per year. The service, which is currently available under a free trial, will be available from March-2014 for a subscription fee of \$9.99 per month. We anticipate that an increase in mainstream sponsorships and licensing deals, which are also typically governed by long term contracts, will also positively impact Zuffa's revenue mix and help the company to shift to a more diversified business model over the long run.

STRONG GLOBAL GROWTH PROSPECTS AS A RESULT OF THE SPORT'S INCREASING POPULARITY

Factored into the company's Ba3 CFR is our belief that Zuffa's growth prospects are strong. In our view, this growth will come from the increased monetization of its content and brand through various distribution channels such as already contracted domestic TV rights, video game sales through its new partnership with EA Sports which includes minimum guarantees, digital media, merchandising and brand licensing opportunities (such as UFC Gyms) and greater PPV revenue splits. Supported by the increased programming hours on television and broader viewership afforded by Fox, we anticipate a steady increase in the popularity of MMA in line with changing tastes in sports (i.e. X Games, etc.) which, in our view, will attract growing numbers of mainstream 18 to 34 male-oriented

advertisers. Since 2007, the company has entered into sponsorship agreements with numerous mainstream advertisers, including Anheuser-Busch (Bud Light), Dodge, Harley-Davidson, Gillette, Metro PCS, and Burger King.

We believe that MMA, and particularly UFC, is benefiting from fan defection largely from boxing and professional wrestling, as well as other traditional sports. UFC top events have ranked equal in viewership with NBA and MLB playoffs (with regard to UFC's target demo) and above NASCAR and NHL top events. Although MMA has to compete with other sports programming for viewership, it is among the fastest growing sports today and revenue growth is expected to remain strong for the intermediate term.

We believe that one of Zuffa's biggest growth opportunities is in international markets, where MMA has some of its strongest followers including in Japan, Brazil, and other Latin American and Western European countries. Moody's notes that Zuffa's events have a year round season and are not tied to specific teams (like other U.S. sports) and as a result the company has unique flexibility to grow internationally. UFC is expected to find an easier time spreading in Latin America, Europe and Asia, than other U.S. sports, and Zuffa is well positioned to capitalize on the expansion and increasing fan market share of the sport internationally. To that end, the company has been launching international versions of its successful The Ultimate Fighter program series in order to build its brand and fan base locally and recruit local talent. It has also signed several large multi-year TV programming agreements (such as those with Globo, Globosat and Televisa in Latin America), all of which will support its long term growth.

LOW-FIXED COST MODEL PROVIDES OPERATING FLEXIBILITY RELATIVE TO OTHER MAJOR SPORTS

Zuffa's business model is unique as the company bears all production costs associated with its events unlike other sports entities and the company has fighter costs which are largely variable. Guarantees and upfront bonuses are more rare, and termination clauses exist for weak performance (akin to the NFL). Compensation is closely tied to performance, and for certain marquee fighters, often by the success of the PPV event. In our opinion, the variability of fighter costs is a credit strength for Zuffa, with those costs being lower as a percentage of revenues than the player costs in other long established major sports leagues (NFL, MLB, NBA, NHL, and Premier League). These costs, often fixed, are the single most significant cost for other teams/leagues and the primary reason why profits are low and deficits are not unusual. Zuffa's exposure to fighter costs is somewhat parallel to that of NASCAR, considering that both fighters and racing teams are independent contractors that have opportunities to generate their own sponsorship revenues, and which do not have a unionized workforce.

With rising revenues, this lower risk structure has contributed to healthy EBITDA margins (though the recent TV programming contract with Fox will deflate margins in the near term because of the back-ended fee structure of the deal). However, we also believe that as the sport is growing in terms of both revenues and popularity, like in other sports, its stars demand greater compensation, and costs will rise in order to maintain stability. We believe the characteristics of the business are well suited for higher scalability and believe the current management will be able to utilize its MMA events library, of which a large portion are in high-definition, by leveraging off its digital media and website on-demand and download capabilities as well as its merchandising, for further organic growth with minimal cash outlays. Also in contrast to other sports entities, Zuffa neither owns nor leases arenas and therefore avoids typical building financing, capex, maintenance and remodeling costs.

Liquidity

Moody's anticipates that Zuffa will maintain an adequate liquidity profile over the next twelve months. Zuffa's \$60 million revolver maturing in February 2018, existing cash balance of \$15 million plus annual free cash flows in the \$45-\$50 million range (after distributions to owners for tax payments) are the company's primary sources of liquidity. At 9/30/2013, there was \$27 million outstanding under the revolving credit facility. The financial covenant under the credit agreement is tested only when swing line loans, letters of credit and/or revolver borrowings are outstanding. At 9/30/2013, Zuffa had in excess of 25% headroom under its 5.5x debt-to-EBITDA covenant, which steps down to 5.0x at 3/31/2015. Moody's expects that over the next twelve months, internally generated sources of cash will provide sufficient liquidity to cover Zuffa's operating needs, including working capital requirements, tax payments and maintenance capital expenditures, and the \$4.5 million annual term loan amortization. Alternative liquidity is modest as the assets are pledged to the credit facility.

Key Covenants

The company's senior secured first lien bank facility has no material covenants to provide remedy or intervention to protect lenders from increasing leverage from weakening operating performance, short of payment default or providing timely and unqualified audited financial statements when the company's revolver is undrawn. If drawn,

Zuffa will need to maintain the aforementioned leverage covenant under which we expect the company will maintain adequate cushion over the next twelve months.

In addition, there is useful negative pledge protection limiting investments, additional indebtedness and restricted payments (excluding payments to cover taxes) when covenant debt-to-EBITDA is over 5.0x, regardless of whether or not there is an outstanding revolver balance. Its ability to do restricted payments is limited to \$75 million plus a percentage (100% if leverage is under 4.0x) of its excess cash flow or consolidated net income.

Structural Considerations

The current instrument ratings and the LGD assessments for the senior credit facility are based on a Ba3 CFR and a Ba3 Probability of Default Rating (PDR). Since there are no remedial covenants to protect lenders from weak operating performance as the facility's leverage covenant is only applicable when the revolver is drawn, the LGD methodology permits us to assign a 50% expected family recovery rate for Zuffa, LLC. The result is a Ba3 rating and LGD4-51% assessment on the credit facilities. Even with its first lien priority, the facility is rated at the same level as the CFR due to it being the only debt instrument in the capital structure.

Rating Outlook

The stable outlook reflects Zuffa's continued growth opportunities driven by increasing revenue contributions from key sponsorships, licensing, and domestic and international television rights fees. The outlook accounts for potential volatility in the company's credit metrics depending on timing and performance of its individual events, though we expect leverage to trend downwards through EBITDA growth over the next 12-18 months.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

Ratings could be upgraded if the increasing mainstream acceptance of the MMA sport continues while the company demonstrates consistent revenue growth and stable margin characteristics, such that it can sustain leverage under 2.5x and free cash flow-to-debt of above 20%. Continued revenue diversification and an increase in contractual revenue that reduces volatility in operating metrics will be important factors when considering a rating upgrade.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

Significantly lower revenue and free cash flow growth over an extended period due to possible reduced fan affinity, or a major dividend or debt financed acquisition resulting in debt-to-EBITDA being sustained over 4.0x could result in a downgrade of the rating. An unusual or disrupting event such as a terrorist act or a natural disaster affecting the operations of the company, or an adverse legal judgment not mitigated by insurance proceeds nor free cash flow could place the ratings under downward pressure as well.

MOODY'S
INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2014 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATION") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE

VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors

and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.